



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/673,258

09/30/2003

Koichiro Tani

4635-002

2067

22429

7590

09/18/2006

LOWE HAUPTMAN BERNER, LLP
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD
SUITE 300
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

HAND, MELANIE JO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3761

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

88

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/673,258	Applicant(s) TANI, KOICHIRO	
	Examiner Melanie J. Hand	Art Unit 3761	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's argument that Examiner has not provided a reason for modifying Robles so as to position one of the fixing part or joining part inwardly of one another, Examiner disagrees. Robles teaches varying the positions and dimensions of the bonding areas so as to effect a different fit for the user, therefore placing the joining parts and fixing parts (or two other discrete bonding sites) at a transverse distance from each other wherein one is placed inwardly of the other affects the tensile modulus and thus can be used to alter the tensile modulus to provide a customized fit, which is the motivation. The rejection of claim 1 has been restated to include this motivation, however it was stated in the previous Office action with respect to other claims. This response also addressed applicant's assertion that Robles' teaching is merely an invitation to experiment and not a motivation.

With respect to applicant's argument that there is no motivation to modify the bonding pattern of the panels 36,38, only the extensibility, Examiner disagrees insofar as the altering of the bonding pattern directly affects the extensibility and thus one cannot modify one or the other and cannot find motivation to modify one without modifying the other. The modifying of the bonding pattern to affect tensile modulus is either stated explicitly or implicitly taught throughout the prior art reference of Robles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robles et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,004,306).

With respect to **Claims 1,15,18**: Robles teaches diaper 20 comprising containment assembly 22 further comprising a liquid-pervious topsheet 24, a liquid-impervious backsheet 26 absorbent core 28 disposed between said topsheet and said backsheet, extensible side panels 30 with proximal edges 80 and distal edges 82 (Fig. 1) (Col. 4, lines 25-36). Robles teaches that side panels 30 are bonded to containment assembly 22 at edge 80 in a bonding area of varying size and pattern (Fig. 1) (Col. 12, lines 60-62). As can best be seen from Figs. 1-3, tape fasteners 40 are disposed on a distal outer edge of side panels 30 that is laterally outward from an inner edge of side panels 30.

Robles does not explicitly teach separate side flap fixing parts and joint parts. The teaching by Robles of bonds of varying size and patterns makes possible separate side flap fixing parts and joint parts with a bond-free region disposed therebetween with a reasonable expectation of success, and either of fixing parts or joint parts can be positioned inward with respect to one another along a transverse direction of said diaper. Robles teaches varying the positions and dimensions of the bonding areas so as to effect a different fit for the user, therefore placing the joining parts and fixing parts (or two other discrete bonding sites) at a transverse distance from each other wherein one is placed inwardly of the other affects the tensile modulus and thus can be used to alter the tensile modulus to provide a customized fit, therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place one of the fixing part and

Art Unit: 3761

the joint part inwardly of the other. With respect to claim 18, in the bond-free region, the respective side flap would be free of direct attachment to the diaper body. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to identify a pattern comprising at least two separate bond points or lines of either equal or varying length as defining fixing parts and joint parts, respectively, said joint parts or fixing parts thus having either equal or differing lengths.

With respect to **Claim 2**: Robles teaches that side panels 30 are bonded to containment assembly 22 at edge 80 in an overlapping configuration (Fig. 1) (Col. 12, lines 57-62).

With respect to **Claim 3,6,11**: Robles teaches that extensible side panels are comprised of waist panel 36 and thigh panel 38 of identical size that are bonded to edge 56 of containment assembly 22, therefore there exists first and second joint parts located in an upper and lower region, respectively, of distal edge 80. (Fig. 1) (Col. 10, lines 24-27, 48-50, Col. 12, lines 59-62) The joint parts will have substantially identical size as well given the identical size of the panels 36 and 38. (Col. 12, lines 21,22)

With respect to **Claims 4,12,13**: Robles teaches that extensible side panels are comprised of laminates of elastomeric nonwoven materials with a nonwoven coverstock material sandwiched therebetween. (Col. 13, lines 62-66)

With respect to **Claim 5**: As can best be seen from any of Figures 1-3, Robles teaches that fasteners 40 are disposed at a substantial center vertically along the outer edge of each of side panels 30.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to **Claim 7**: Robles teaches that the side panel 30 is joined to the containment assembly 22 by heat bonding (Col. 13, lines 4-8), which also therefore teaches heat bonding for the constitution of any fixing or joint part bonds.

With respect to **Claim 8**: Robles teaches by reference to U.S. Patent No. 3,848,594 to Buell that tape fasteners 40 are comprised of a fastening layer or surface bonded to a back surface. (Col. 20, lines 64-66)

With respect to **Claims 9 and 10**: Robles teaches that waist panel member 37 and thigh panel member 39 are initially joined separately to the diaper 20 (Fig. 5) (Col. 13, lines 31-36). Since Robles also teaches that attachment of side panels 30 to distal edges 80 is intermittent (Col. 13, lines 8-10), Robles teaches attachment areas of differing size for the waist and thigh panels 36 and 38.

With respect to **Claim 14**: As stated with respect to Claim 1, side panels 30 are attached to diaper 20 at their distal edges 80.

With respect to **Claims 15-17**: Please see the rejections of claims 1 and 3 as these rejections collectively address all of the limitations of claims 16 and 17. Since Robles teaches that the bonding areas can vary in size the lengths and widths of each of either fixing part bonds or joint part bonds can be varied to result in a change in elastic modulus to the waist and thigh panels 36,38 and thus result in adjusted fitness around the waist of a user. Since Robles also teaches that waist panel 36 may differ in size from thigh panel 38, the joint parts would have to be

Art Unit: 3761

adjusted in length and width to accommodate the differing lengths and thus their respective positions would necessarily have to be adjusted.

With respect to **Claim 19**: Since Robles also teaches that waist panel 36 may differ in size from thigh panel 38 but does not explicitly teach that one of the first or second joint parts is closer to the respective side edge of the diaper body than the other, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to move either of the first or second joining parts to compensate for the difference in size to ensure an accurate fit about the wearer with the tensile forces being appropriately distributed.

With respect to **Claim 20**: As can be seen in Fig. 1, the fixing parts of each flap extend continuously along substantially the entire length of the respective side flap. As can also be seen in Fig. 1, and owing to the shape of each panel 36,38, a length of a joining part of each flap is necessarily shorter than the length of the respective fixing part, but Robles does not explicitly teach that a length of the joint part is short than half of that of the fixing part. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the length of a joining part so as to be equal to half of the length of the fixing part, as this limitation represents an optimization of the relative length of the joining parts with respect to the fixing part of the respective flap, and since Robles teaches that the bonding areas can vary in size the lengths and widths of each of either fixing part bonds or joint part bonds can be varied to result in a change in elastic modulus to the waist and thigh panels 36,38 and thus adjustable fitness around the waist of a user.

With respect to **Claim 21**: Since there is overlap of the panels 36,38 at the joining part region, a single joint part and fixing part could exist for each flap and it would be obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to modify the joining parts so as to be a single part to strengthen the joining part itself and thus increase the integrity of the side panels.

With respect to **Claims 22,23**: As can be seen in Fig. 1, leg elastic elements 32 extend in the longitudinal direction and along the side edges of the diaper body. With respect to claim 22, Robles does not teach explicitly that the joint part of each side flap overlaps the leg elastic elements, however since Robles teaches that the width of the joint parts can be modified to provide a different fit for a user, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to either modify the width of the joint part such that said joint part overlaps at least one of the leg elastic elements 32 or modify the width so that the joint part is located on an imaginary extension of at least one of the leg elastic elements, as the modification would still result in a different fit even if the joint parts do not physically overlap the elastic elements.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie J. Hand whose telephone number is 571-272-6464. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melanie J Hand
Examiner
Art Unit 3761

MJH
September 14, 2006

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

